UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,200	09/30/2003	Ming-Jiun Liaw	0941-1876PUS1	9607
2292 7590 02/23/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALL S CHUIDCH, MA 22040, 0747			EXAMINER	
			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of About a const	10/673,200	LIAW, MING-JIUN
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonid Shapiro	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		•
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection		
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).	, •	,
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		_
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 		
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	_(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:		
/Richard Hjerpe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to